Impatto della pandemia da COVID-19 nel paziente con Linfoma e Leucemia Linfatica Cronica

### **Bari, 17-18 febbraio 2023**

FONDAZIONE

ITALIANA

Sala "A. Leogrande" Centro Polifunzionale Studenti Università degli Studi di Bari "Aldo Moro" Tommasina Perrone EMATOLOGIA CON TRAPIANTO AOU Policlinico Bari



### **Disclosures of Name Surname**

Company name	Research support	Employee	Consultant	Stockholder	Speakers bureau	Advisory board	Other
						Takeda	



Patients with hematologic malignancies are highly vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2 infection

Patients with hematologic malignancies are at risk for severe COVID-19

There is a higher incidence of COVID-19 infections in pts with lymphoprolipherative disorders (limphomas, CLL, MM)

Increased COVID-19 morbidity is related to pts age and comorbidities

Vaccinated patients with lymphoma often fail to develop a sufficient antiviral immune response

Liang W et al. *Lancet Oncol*. 2020 Wang Q, et al*Blood Rev*. 2022 Fendler A, et al *Nat Rev Clin Oncol*. 2022 Gurion R, et al *Haematologica*. 2022 Williamson et al*Nature*. 2020 WHO. Living Guidance for Clinical Management of COVID-19. Accessed 15 July 2022 Pagano et al *J Hematol Oncol (2021)* Visco et al. Blood Advances 2021

## Covid-19 and hematologic malignancies

#### TABLE 1 COVID-19 in patients with lymphoma and other hematologic malignancies

Study	Design	Demographic and clinical characteristics	Mortality rate	Predictors of mortality		
Passamonti et al., 2020 <sup>6</sup>	<ul> <li>Retrospective, multicenter study</li> <li>Observation period: 3 m</li> <li>To determine mortality and predictive factors of mortality</li> <li>In-patients with HM, N = 536 (44% with lymphomas)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Median age 68 (58-77) yr</li> <li>63% male</li> <li>Median CCI 4 (3-6)</li> <li>50% with severe/critical COVID-19</li> </ul>	• 37%	<ul> <li>Older age</li> <li>Progressive HM (HR 2.10, 95% CI 1.41- 3.12)</li> <li>HM type (indolent lymphoma HR 2.19, 95% CI 1.07-4.48; aggressive lymphoma, HR 2.54, 95% CI 1.34-4.89)</li> <li>Severe/critical COVID-19 (HR 4.06, 95% CI 2.73-6.09)</li> </ul>		
Yigenoglu et al., 2020 <sup>7</sup>	<ul> <li>Retrospective analysis</li> <li>To compare the outcomes of pts with HM, N = 740 (33.7% with lymphomas) versus pts with no cancer (N = 740).</li> </ul>	Median age: 56 versus 56 v     Male gender: 53.6% versus 54.1%     28.7% versus 19.6% with severe/orit- ical COVID-19	<ul> <li>CFR 13.8% versus 6.8% p = 0.0001; 10.8% for NHL and 14.8% for HL</li> <li>Significantly worse COVID-19 outcomes for HM pts versus pts with no cancer</li> </ul>	NA	Passamonti et al. Review <i>Hematological Oncolo</i>	gy. 2022
Garcia-Suarez et al., 2020 <sup>39</sup>	<ul> <li>Prospective, registry study</li> <li>To define mortality rate, prognostic factors</li> <li>In- and out-patients with HM, N = 697 (69% with lymphoid malignancy)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Median age 72 (IQR 60-79) yr</li> <li>60% male</li> <li>59% on active treatment for HM</li> <li>62% with severe/critical COVID-19</li> </ul>	• 33%	<ul> <li>Age ≥60 yr</li> <li>&gt;2 comorbidities</li> <li>AML versus NHL</li> <li>Lymphoma treatment with mAb versus no treatment</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Retrospective, multicenter study</li> <li>To characterize presentation and outcomes</li> <li>Pts with lymphoma hospitalized for COVID-19, N = 89</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Median age, 67 (19–92) yr</li> <li>66% male</li> <li>72% with comorbidities</li> <li>44% with lymphoma complete remission</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>34%</li> <li>30-d OS, 71% (95% CI 62%-81%)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Age ≥70 yr (HR 2.87, 95% CI 1.20-6.85, p = 0.02)</li> <li>Relassed/refractory lymphoma (HR 2.54, 95% CI 1.14-5.66, p = 0.02)</li> </ul>		
Regalado- Artamundi et al., 2021 <sup>38</sup>	<ul> <li>Retrospective registry study</li> <li>To define epidemiology and predictors of death</li> <li>In- and out-patients with lymphoma, N = 177</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Median age 70 (IQR 56-77) yr</li> <li>55.9% male</li> <li>&gt;70% with comorbidities</li> <li>49.7% on active treatment for lymphoma</li> <li>86.3% hospitalized due to COVID-19</li> </ul>	• 34.5%	<ul> <li>Age &gt; 70 yr</li> <li>Comorbidities</li> <li>Active disease (vs. complete response, HR 2.770 (95% CI 1.143-6.712, p = 0.024))</li> </ul>		
Duléry et al., 2021 <sup>®)</sup>	Retrospective, multicenter study     To examine prolonged length of stay in hospital     and its determinants     111 lymphoma in-pis	Median age, 65 y     71% treated for lymphoma within 1 yr     12% with relapsed     lymphoma     Visco et al.,	6-m survival 69%     Multicenter retroppettive study and	Recent anti-CD20 therapy was associated with prolonged stay in hospital and higher analysis of     Median age, 63 (19-94) vr	Overall, 19.5%	Age > 65 vr
	<ul> <li>EPICOVIDHEA registry survey</li> <li>To characterize epidemiology and predictors of mortality</li> <li>PIs with HM, N = 3801; N = 1084 with NHL, N = 135 with HL.</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Median age 65 (54</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Instructively collected data</li> <li>To identify predictors of death</li> <li>In- and out-patients with lymphoma, (N = 468 in-pts, N = 388 out-pts)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>59% male</li> <li>46% with complete remission of</li> </ul>	33.4% for in-pts     3.8% for out-pts     . e/	Male gender Male gender ALC < 650 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /L Platelets < 100 × 10 <sup>6</sup> /L

Aldere dations ALC should be based on an ed. CCI Charles Connected to Index CCD and failing outs MI. Herdelin based on the Harved set of the Index in a second Michael State of the

FONDAZIONE ITALIANA LINFOMI



## Licterature items

COVID 19 Epidemiology in HM

COVID 19 outcome in HM

Sequelae of COVID 19 infection in HM

COVID 19 antibody responce in HM

Managment of HM in the COVID 19 era



COVID-19 infection in adult patients with hematological malignancies: a European Hematology Association Survey (EPICOVIDEHA) Pagano et al. J Hematol Oncol (2021)

EPICOVIDEHA: Epidemiology of COVID-19 Infection in Patients with Hematological Malignancies International open web-based registry for patients with HM infected with SARS-CoV-2

A prognostic model for patients with lymphoma and COVID-19: a multicentre cohort study

Visco et al.

Italian Hematology Alliance on COVID-19 (ITA- HEMA-COV)



COVID-19 infection in adult patients with hematological malignancies: a European Hematology Association Survey (EPICOVIDEHA) Pagano *et al. J Hematol Oncol (2021)* 

Multinational project aimed to collect COVID-19 cases occurring in HM patients in 2020 A survey was performed on behalf of the Scientific Working Group Infection in Hematology of the European Hematology Association (EHA) e objective was to assess epidemiology and outcomes of COVID-19 in HM patients





## **EPICOVIDEHA**

**Primary objective**: to assess the epidemiology and the outcome of HM affected by COVID- 19

Secondary objectives: to estimate COVID disease severity To evaluate hospitalization requires Frequency of pre-existing comorbidities Overall case-fatality rate Treatment of the HM (off/on) Type of therapy (i.e., chemotherapy, immunotherapy, targeted therapy, hematopoietic stem cell transplant [HSCT]).

	Baseline hematological malignancies			n %			
LATE EF	Acute lymphoid leukemia	16	59 4.4	1579 41.5			
	Chronic lymphoid leukemia	47	12.5	1579 41.5 2222 58.5			FONDAZIONE
	Acute myeloid leukemia	49	97 13.1			n	%
	Chronic myeloid leukemia	16	51 4.2			"	70
EPICO	Myelodysplastic syndrome	27		Sex			
A total d	Low-intermediate risk	13		Female		1579	41.5
	High risk	48		Male		2222	58.5
countrie		93		STATES STATES STATES			SS20555
survey a	Hairy cell leukemia	23		Age, median (IQR) [range]		65 (54–74), [18-	-95]
	Hodgkin lymphoma	13		Comorbidities			
cases, 1	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma Indolent	49	084 28.5 07 13.1	Chronic cardiopathy		1146	30.1
	Aggressive	45		Chronic pulmonary disease		614	16.2
	Not stated	71		Diabetes mellitus		620	16.3
	Essential thrombocythemia	69		Liver disease		167	4.4
	Myelofibrosis	12					
	Polycythemia vera	70		Obesity		345	9.1
	Systemic mastocytosis	6	0.2	Renal impairment		325	8.6
	Multiple myeloma	6	C	Coopling history		477	12.5
	Amyloidosis	8	Status <sup>a</sup>				38.5
Demograpł		20	Controlled disea	se	1760	46.	3
of enrolled	Datients at COVID-19 diagnosis		Complete remis	sion	1170	30.	9
			Partial remission	l de la constante de	590	15.	5
			Activedisease		1963	51.	6
Pagano <i>et al. J l</i>	Hematol Oncol (2021)		Onset		888	23.	4
			Refractory/Resis	tant	473	12.4	4

%

Treatments for Hematological Malignancies at the onset of COVID-19

n

Last/ongoing treatment strategy before (	COVID-19	
Immunochemotherapy	857	22.5
Targeted therapy <sup>a</sup>	607	16.0
Conventional chemotherapy	597	15.7
No treatment	538	14.1
Palliative/supportive measures	226	6.0
Immunomodulators	218	5.7
Allogeneic HSCT	173	4.6
Anagrelide/Hydroxyurea	145	3.8
Hypomethylating agents	141	3.7
Immunotherapy only	125	3.3
Autologous HSCT	74	1.9
Unknown	41	
Other	28	0.7
CAR-T	21	0.6
Radiotherapy	10	U.5
Summary of received treatment <sup>b</sup>		
Chemotherapy	3178	83.6
In the last month	1979	52.1
In the last 3 months	523	13.8
Treatment ended > 3 months	631	16.6
Not stated	45	1.2
Radiotherapy	186	49
Allogeneic HSCT	265	7.0
Autologous HSCT	292	7.7
CAR-T	24	0.6
Other strategies	150	3.9
No treatment	538	14.2

FONDAZIONE

Pagano et al. J Hematol Oncol (2021)

Clinical features of COVID-19 in our patient cohort



### Overall mortality rate by disease and treatment received

	n	%		
COVID-19 infection				
Asymptomatic	675	17.8		
Mild	658	17.3		Baseline hematolo
Severe	1736	45.7		Acute lymphoid le
Critical	689	18.1		Chronic lymphoid
Unknown	43	1.1		Acute myeloid leu
COVID-19 test sample <sup>a</sup>				Chronic myeloid l
BAL	60	1.6		Myelodysplastic s
SARS-CoV-2 nasopharyngeal swab	3700	97.3		Low-intermediate
SARS-CoV-2 serology	86	2.3		High risk
Reason for COVID-19 test <sup>a</sup>				Not stated
Pulmonary symptoms	1454	38.3	COVID disease was:	Hairy cell leukemia
Pulmonary + extrapulmonary symptoms	831	21.9	COVID disease was.	Hodgkin lymphon
Extrapulmonary symptoms	742	19.5	Severe in 45%	Non-Hodgkin lym
Screening	727	19.1		Indolent
Unknown	47	1.2	Critic in 18%	Aggressive
Neutrophils level at COVID-19 diagnosis <sup>b</sup>				Not stated
$\leq 0.5 \times 10^{9}$ /mm <sup>3</sup>	280	7.4	Mild 17%	Essential thrombo
0.501-0.999 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup>	217	5.7		Myelofibrosis
$\geq 1 \times 10^{9}$ /mm <sup>3</sup>	2738	72.0	Asimptomatic 17%	Polycythemia vera
Lymphocytes level at COVID-19 diagnosis <sup>b</sup>				Systemic mastocy
$\leq 0.2 \times 10^{9} / \text{mm}^{3}$	344	9.1		Multiple Myeloma
0.201-0.499 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup>	538	14.2	73% have been hospedalised	Amyloidosis
≥ 0.5 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup>	2367	62.3	1070 Have been hospedalised	,
Stay during COVID-19				Aplastic anemia
Admitted to hospital	2778	73.1		Last/ongoing treat
Length of hospital stay, median (IQR) [range]	15 (8–27), [1–235]	-	31% death:	Anagrelide/Hydro
ICU	689	18.1	( O )   = = = = = = (	Conventional che
Length of ICU stay, median (IQR) [range]	11 (5–20), [1–111]	-	for Covid 58%	Hypomethylating
Invasive MV	449	11.8		Immunotherapy of
Non-invasive MV	221	5.8	HM 15%	Immunochemoth
Clinical outcome of COVID-19			Both 13%	Immunomodulate
Death	1185	31.2	Duil 1378	Targeted therapy <sup>a</sup>
Observation time, median (IQR) [range]	89 (21–172), [0–436]	-		Allogeneic HSCT
Reason for death <sup>a</sup>				Autologous HSCT
Not related to COVID-19	125	3.3		CAR-T
Contributable by COVID-19	155	4.1		Radiotherapy
Attributable to COVID-19	843	22.2		Palliative/supporti
Attributable to HM	328	8.6		Other
Death due to other reasons	123	3.2		Unknown Pag
Death due to unknown reasons	78	2.1		No treatment

	Overall mortali	Overall mortality		
	Survived n (%)	Died <i>n</i> (%)		
Baseline hematological malignancies				
Acute lymphoid leukemia	125 (74)	44 (26)		
Chronic lymphoid leukemia	340 (71.7)			
Acute myeloid leukemia	298 (60)	199 (40)		
Chronic myeloid leukemia	144 (89.5)			
Myelodysplastic syndrome	161 (57.7)	118 (42.3)		
Low-intermediate risk	77 (55.8)			
High risk	26 (54.2)	22 (45.8)		
Not stated	58 (62.4)	35 (37.6)		
Hairy cell leukemia	15 (65.2)	8 (34.8)		
Hodgkin lymphoma	120 (88.9)	15 (11.1)		
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	739 (68.2)	345 (31.8)		
Indolent	354 (71.3)	143 (28.7)		
Aggressive	337 (65.3)	179 (34.7)		
Not stated	48 (67.6)	23 (32.4)		
Essential thrombocythemia	57 (82.6)	12 (17.4)		
Myelofibrosis	77 (63.1)	45 (36.9)		
Polycythemia vera	56 (80)	14 (20)		
Systemic mastocytosis	5 (83.4)	1 (16.6)		
Multiple Myeloma	458 (67)	226 (33)		
Amyloidosis	7 (87.5)	1 (12.5)		
Aplastic anemia	14 (70)	6 (30)		
Last/ongoing treatment strategy before C	COVID-19			
Anagrelide/Hydroxyurea	106 (73.1)	39 (26.9)		
Conventional chemotherapy	423 (70.9)	174 (29.1)		
Hypomethylating agents	58 (41.2)	83 (58.8)		
Immunotherapy only	89 (71.2)	36 (28.8)		
Immunochemotherapy	595 (69.4)	262 (30.6)		
Immunomodulators	139 (63.8)	79 (36.2)		
Targeted therapy <sup>a</sup>	453 (74.6)	154 (25.4)		
Allogeneic HSCT	130 (75.2)	43 (24.8)		
Autologous HSCT	54 (73)	20 (27)		
CAR-T	11 (52.4)	10 (47.6)		
Radiotherapy	9 (90)	1 (10)		
Palliative/supportive measures	122(56)	104 (46)		
Other	17 (60.7)	11 (39.3)		
Unknown Pagano et al. J	Hematol O	ncoli(20		
No treatment	382 (71)	156 (29)		

#### Overall mortality predictors in COVID-19 HM patients

	Univariable			Multivariable			
	p value	HR	95% CI	p value	HB	95% CI	
Sex							
Female	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Male	0.059	1.119	0.095-1.258	0.376	1.065	0.927-1.223	
Age	< 0.0001	1.036	1.031-1.041	< 0.0001	1.032	1.026-1.039	
Malignancy status							
Controlled disease	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Active disease	< 0.0001	2.107	1.863-2.383	< 0.0001	1.860	1.615-2.141	
Unknown	< 0.0001	2.293	1.607-3.274	< 0.0001	2.353	1.538-3.601	
Hematological malignancy							
Hodgkin lymphoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Chronic lymphold leukemia	< 0.0001	2.789	1.635-4.757	0.763	1.093	0.614-1.947	
Acute myeloid leukemia	< 0.0001	4.364	2.581-7.376	0.011	2.046	1.176-3.557	
Chronic myeloid leukemia	0.915	0.963	0.481-1.928	0.086	0.513	0.239-1.099	
Acute lymphoblastic leukemia	0.002	2.530	1.405-4.553	0.250	1.457	0.767-2.768	
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	< 0.0001	3.041	1.814-5.100	0.569	1.171	0.68-2.015	
Aplastic anemia	0.040	2.695	1.045-6.948	0.179	2.022	0.724-5.645	
Essential thrombocythemia	0.234	1.585	0.742-3.387	0.332	0.667	0.295-1.511	
Multiple myeloma	< 0.0001	3.355	1.989-5.658	0.630	1.145	0.661-1.984	
Myelodysplastic syndrome	< 0.0001	4.627	2.704-7.919	0.072	1.706	0.953-3.056	
Myelofibrosis	< 0.0001	3.786	2.110-6.791	0.185	1.540	0.813-2.915	
Polycythemia vera	0.059	2.016	0.973-4.176	0.985	0.992	0.456-2.158	
Amyloidosis	0.893	1.150	0.152-8.705	0.932	-	-	
Hairy cell leukemia	0.019	2.936	1.197-7.202	0.301	1.806	0.589-5.533	
Systemic mastocytosis	0.715	1.457	0.192-11.031	0.968	0.959	0.126-7.323	
COVID-19 infection							
Asymptomatic	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mild infection	0.545	1.087	0.830-1.422	0.653	1.074	0.786-1.467	
Severe infection	< 0.0001	2.127	1.722-2.628	< 0.0001	1.682	1.312-2.157	
Critical infection	< 0.0001	5.333	4.300-6.613	< 0.0001	4.230	3.294-5.432	
Unknown	0.623	1.229	0.540-2.800	0.928	-	-	
Chronic cardiopathy	< 0.0001	2.011	1.792-2.257	< 0.0001	1.406	1.218-1.624	
Liver disease	0.008	1.394	1.091-1.781	0.020	1.388	1.052-1.831	
Chronic pulmonary disease	< 0.0001	1.516	1.320-1.740	0.926	1.008	0.85-1.195	
Diabetes mellitus	< 0.0001	1.352	1.172-1.560	0.439	1.070	0.901-1.272	
Obesity	0.796	0.974	0.796-1.191	-	-	-	
Renal impairment	< 0.0001	1.883	1.589-2.232	< 0.0001	1,404	1.143-1.724	
Smoking history	0.013	1.224	1.043-1.436	0.031	1.223	1.019-1.469	
Neutrophils, cells/mm <sup>1</sup>							
$\leq 0.5 \times 10^{9} / \text{mm}^{3}$	-	-	-	-	-	-	
0.501-0.999 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup>	< 0.0001	0.594	0.450-0.785	0.272	0.845	0.626-1.141	
≥ 1 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup>	< 0.0001	0.514	0.431-0.614	0.184	0.862	0.693-1.073	
Lymphocytes, cells/mm <sup>3</sup>							
$\leq 0.2 \times 10^9 / \text{mm}^3$	-	-	-	-	-	-	
0.201-0.499 × 10 <sup>9</sup> /mm <sup>3</sup>	0.004	0.746	0.611-0.912	0.021	0.779	0.629-0.963	
$\geq 0.5 \times 10^{9} / \text{mm}^{3}$	< 0.0001	0.499	0.422-0.590	< 0.0001	0.601	0.499-0.722	
Last chemotherapy							
> 3 months before COVID-19	-	-	-	-	-	-	
In the last 3 months	< 0.0001	1.531	1.226-1.912	0.081	1.236	0.974-1.568	
In the last month	< 0.0001	1.688	1.408-2.024	0.657	1.047	0.854-1.284	
Unknown	0.103	1.578	0.911-2.734	0.998	0.999	0.537-1.86	

# FONDAZIONE

#### In the multivariable analysis

Mortality impact factors : age, active disease, chronic cardiopathy, liver disease, renal impairment, smoking history, and ICU stay

Among HM, AML is the malignancy associated with a significantly high mortality

Neutrophil count > 0.5×109/mm3 lymphocyte count > 0.2 × 109/mm3 were protective



Overall survival in the different HMS by time distribution (first vs. the second wave)



## Italian Hematology Alliance on COVID-19 (ITA-HEMA-COV)

The ITA-HEMA-COV worked on behalf of all Italian societies dealing with hematology: Società Italiana di Ematologia, Società Italiana di Ematologia Sperimentale, Gruppo Italiano Trapianto Midollo Osseo, Sorveglianza Epidemiologica Infezioni nelle Emopatie, and Fondazione Italiana Linfomi.

## A prognostic model for patients with lymphoma and COVID-19: a multicentre cohort study

Carlo Visco,<sup>1</sup> Luigi Marcheselli,<sup>2</sup> Roberto Mina,<sup>3</sup> Marianna Sassone,<sup>4</sup> Anna Guidetti,<sup>5</sup> Domenico Penna,<sup>6</sup> Chiara Cattaneo,<sup>7</sup> Valentina Bonuomo,<sup>1</sup> Alessandro Busca,<sup>3</sup> Andrés José María Ferreri,<sup>4</sup> Riccardo Bruna,<sup>8</sup> Luigi Petrucci,<sup>9</sup> Roberto Cairoli,<sup>10</sup> Marco Salvini,<sup>11</sup> Lorenza Bertù,<sup>11</sup> Marco Ladetto,<sup>12</sup> Sofia Pilerci,<sup>13</sup> Antonello Pinto,<sup>14</sup> Safaa Ramadan,<sup>15</sup> Francesco Marchesi,<sup>16</sup> Michele Cavo,<sup>17</sup> Luca Arcaini,<sup>18</sup> Elisa Coviello,<sup>19</sup> Alessandra Romano,<sup>20</sup> Pellegrino Musto,<sup>21</sup> Massimo Massaia,<sup>22</sup> Nicola Fracchiolla,<sup>23</sup> Monia Marchetti,<sup>12</sup> Annamaria Scattolin,<sup>24</sup> Maria Chiara Tisi,<sup>25</sup> Antonio Cuneo,<sup>26</sup> Matteo Della Porta,<sup>27</sup> Livio Trentin,<sup>28</sup> Marco Turrini,<sup>29</sup> Filippo Gherlinzoni,<sup>30</sup> Agostino Tafuri,<sup>31</sup> Sara Galimberti,<sup>32</sup> Monica Bocchia,<sup>33</sup> Valeria Cardinali,<sup>34</sup> Daniela Cilloni,<sup>35</sup> Alessandro Corso,<sup>36</sup> Daniele Armiento,<sup>37</sup> Luigi Rigacci,<sup>38</sup> Elettra Ortu La Barbera,<sup>39</sup> Carlo Gambacorti-Passerini,<sup>40</sup> Giuseppe Visani,<sup>41</sup> Daniele Vallisa,<sup>42</sup> Adriano Venditti,<sup>43</sup> Carmine Selleri,<sup>44</sup> Annarita Conconi,<sup>45</sup> Patrizia Tosi,<sup>46</sup> Francesco Lanza,<sup>47</sup> Anna Candoni,<sup>48</sup> Mauro Krampera,<sup>1</sup> Paolo Corradini,<sup>5</sup> Francesco Passamonti,<sup>11,\*</sup> and Francesco Merli<sup>6,\*</sup> on behalf of the ITA-HEMA-COV investigators

#### Table 1. Baseline patients' characteristics by hospital admission

Status	Overall n (%)	Admitted n (%)	Not-admitted n (%)	P-value
	856 (100)	468 (55)	388 (45)	
fedian age (range)	63	67	57	<.001
	(19-94)	(20-92)	(19-94)	
iender				<.001
Male	504 (59)	305 (65)	199 (51)	
harlson Index				<.001
>6	166 (20)	123 (28)	43 (11)	
nemia				<.001
<12 g/dL	122 (16)	95 (22)	27 (8)	
otal lymphocytes				
<650/mmc	140 (22)	105 (28)	35 (12)	<.001
istology				
DLBCL	251 (29)	147 (31)	104 (27)	.152
FL	183 (21)	111 (24)	72 (19)	.078
HG-NOS, DHL	66 (6)	34 (7)	21 (5)	.327
MZL.	72 (8)	48 (10)	24 (6)	.036
LPL	61 (7)	32 (7)	29 (7)	.790
MCL	60 (7)	34 (7)	26 (7)	.789
T-cell	47 (5)	28 (6)	19 (5)	.530
cHL	115 (13)	28 (6)	87 (22)	<.001
LP-HL	11 (1)	6 (1)	5 (1)	1.00
noker				.008
Never	429 (70)	199 (66)	230 (75)	
Former	128 (21)	79 (26)	49 (16)	
Current	53 (9)	24 (8)	29 (9)	
OVID-19 severity	(1)			<.001
Mid	505 (63)	184 (40)	321 (92)	
Severe	230 (29)	206 (45)	24 (7)	
Critical	68 (8)	66 (14)	2 (1)	
line treatment	44 (4)	00 (14)	2.07	.428
1	345 (69)	189 (72)	156 (65)	
2	87 (17)	41 (16)	46 (19)	
3	39 (8)	18 (7)	21 (9)	
4+	32 (6)	15 (6)	17 (87)	
me to COVID-19	0x (0)	10 (0)		<.001
	138 (16)	99 (21)	39 (10)	
3-12 mo	188 (22)	113 (24)	75 (19)	
12-24 mo	133 (16)	68 (15)	65 (17)	
24-36 mp	73 (9)	46 (10)	27 (7)	
24-36 mp 36-48 mp	58 (7)	17 (4)	41 (11)	
30-46 mp	259 (31)	119 (25)	140 (36)	
tatus at COVID-19	X08 (91)	118 (20)	140 (30)	<.001
	974 (40)	150 (99)	A15 (57)	<,001
CR PR	374 (46)	159 (36)	215 (57)	
	137 (17)	89 (20)	48 (13)	
SD	98 (12)	64 (15)	34 (9)	
PD W&W	120 (15) 83 (10)	82 (19) 44 (10)	38 (10) 39 (10)	

E				1710115
Covariate	n (%)	100-d Death % (95%Cl)	HR (95%)	P-value
COVID-19 severity				
Mild	500 (63)	9 (6-13)	1.00	
Severe	227 (29)	38 (31-46)	4.67 (3.16-6.90)	<.001
Critical	67 (8)	75 (61-87)	12.1 (7.82-18.6)	<.001
Hospital admission				
No	382 (45)	5 (2-10)	1.00	
Yes	463 (55)	37 (32-43)	8.82 (5.18-15.0)	<.001
Lymphoma status at COVID-19				
CR	368 (46)	16 (11-21)	1.00	
PR	135 (17)	22 (19-31)	1.96 (1.24-3.10)	.004
SD	98 (12)	26 (17-39)	1.92 (1.15-3.20)	.013
PD	119 (15)	41 (30-53)	3.37 (2.21-5.14)	<.001
W&W	81 (10)	22 (13-35)	1.52 (0.85-2.73)	.162
CR/W&W	449 (56)	17 (13-22)	1.00	
PR/SD	233 (29)	23 (18-30)	1.77 (1.22-2.57)	.003
PD	119 (15)	41 (30-53)	3.08 (2.07-4.58)	<.001

856 pts with lymphoma and Covid in 64 centres from february to june 2020: retrospective cohort (237) From june 2020 to february 2021: prospective cohort (619)

> Objectives: To assess mortality rate To identify predictors of mortality

Visco et al. Blood Advances 2021

Overall survival for enrolled patients. Kaplan-Meyer curves for overall survival in all enrolled patients (A), and according to admission to the hospital (B), lymphoma histotype (C).





- 165 (19%) pts died after a median FU of 66 days (range 1-395)
- 30- and 100-days mortality was 13% and 23%
- 91% were due to COVID-19 infection
- Patients admitted to the hospital had significantly worse OS than patients not admitted (35% vs 4%) (P=0.001)

cHL was associated with significantly better OS (P=0,001) FL / i-NHL were borderline (P= 0.049 ) **Overall Survival** 



474 pts were treated for lymphoma:33% were on treatment at the time of the COVID-19diagnosis24% had completed therapy more than 6 months

Patients who had received antilymphoma treatment had worse OS than patients that were never treated

Time interval between lymphoma diagnosis and COVID-19 infection was inversely related to mortality, with 36 months as cutoff

Pts that had received bendamustine had no significant difference in terms of OS

No negative impact on OS has been demostrated by anti-CD20 immunotherapy



#### Table 4. Prognostic model

Complete cases (n=429) Score	N (%)	100-d Death % (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)	P-value	Α
Low 0-1	190 (44)	9 (5-15)	1.00		
Intermediate 2-3	195 (45)	30 (22-39)	3.79 (2.09-6.85)	<.001	
High 4-5	44 (10)	65 (46-83)	8.85 (4.55-17.2)	<.001	
Overall	429	25 (20-31)	-	-	
Incomplete cases (n=193)					
Score	N (%)	100-d Death % (95% Cl)	HR (95% CI)	P-value	- Î
Low 0-1	79 (36)	7 (3-17)	1.00		probability
Intermediate 2-3	106 (48)	26 (17-38)	3.93 (1.50-10.3)	.010	Ē
High 4-5	37 (17)	43 (26-63)	7.63 (2.77-21.0)	<.001	
Overall	193	22 (17-27)	-	-	rtality

Score ranging from 0 to 5

2 for age

1 for male gender, lymphopenia, thrombocytopenia a was obtained

3 risk categories by Kaplan-Meier curves: low (0-1), interme- diate (2-3), and high (4-5)

#### 100-days mortality was:

8% for low risk 29% for intermediate risk 54% for high risk



Visco et al. Blood Advances 2021

Prevalence and impact of COVID-19 sequelae on treatment and survival of patients with cancer who recovered from SARS-CoV-2 infection: evidence from the OnCovid retrospective, multicentre registry study



D. Pinato et al. Lancet Oncol 2021;

European registry study enrolling 2795 consecutive pts with solid or haematological malignancy and with diagnosis of RT-PCR SARS-CoV-2 infection

Primary endpoint: To describe the prevalence of COVID-19 sequelae and their impact on recovery from COVID-19 and outcome of neoplastic disease





## Long-term sequelae of COVID-19 infection

D. Pinato et al. Lancet Oncol 2021;

Respiratory symptoms Residual fatigue Weight loss Neurocognitive symptoms

Negative impact on:

- Recovery from COVID-19
- Oncologic outcomes

COVID-19 long-term sequelae were associated with shorter survival and higher incidence of therapy discontinuation

#### bjh short report

COVID-19 elicits an impaired antibody response against SARS-CoV-2 in patients with haematological malignancies

Passamonti et al.



The ITA-HEMA-COV project investigated seroconversion for SARS-CoV-2 IgG in 237 patients with HMs and SARS-CoV-2 PCR-positive

## In multivariable logistic regression:

### Chemoimmunotherapy was associated with a lower rate of seroconversion

54 (22%) plasma cell neoplasms

69% of pts had detectable IgG SARS-CoV-2 serum antibodies

### Consequently a low rate of seroconversion after vaccination is expexted

chemotherapy 26% chemoimmunotherapy in 22 % immunotherapy only 6 3% targeted therapies in 19 4% autologous and allogeneic HSCT 4 6% and 4% respectively 56% were on active therapy at the time of COVID-19.



FONDAZIONE ITALIANA LINFOMI

Antibody response after vaccination against SARS-CoV-2in adults with hematological malignancies: a systematicreview and meta-analysisGageImann N et al

Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-analyses (**PRISMA**) reporting guideline and the Meta-analysis of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (**MOOSE**) checklist.

Review and meta-analysis of 49 studies **Primary endpoint**: to assess antibody response, efficacy, and safety after vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 in patients with hematological malignancies.

Studies published from 1 July 2020 to spt 2021 on adults with hematological malignancies (myeloid, lymphoid, or plasma cell dyscrasias) after one or two doses of vaccine were considered for inclusion.

Antibody response after vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 ENE in adults with hematological malignancies: a systematic review and meta-analysis



Gagelmann N et al.

A significant difference was identified between hematological malignancies, solid cancer, and healthy controls (P<0.001). Global response was: 37% for hematological malignancies 62% for solid cancer 78% for healthy controls Antibody response according to the type of hematologic disease chronic lymphocytic leukemia 50% multiple myeloma 76% myeloproliferative neoplasms 83% A significant difference was found for pts in remission indolent lymphoma 58% compared (72%) with patients with stable or progressive aggressive lymphoma 61% disease (48%) at time of vaccination (P=0.014) Hodgkin lymphoma 91%



Table 1. Pooled antibody response	Response was significantly					
Subgroups	N	Pooled response	95% CI	ľ	Р	affected by the timing of anti-
Hematopoietic cell transplant Allogeneic Autologous	697 547	82% 83%	77-87 73-90	64% 83%	0.60	CD20 therapy ( <i>P</i> <0.001) 15%≤1 year prior to
CAR-T therapy	92	42%	27-60	54%		vaccination
Treatment Active No	1,228 1,034	35% 76%	25-47 68-82	93% 83%	<0.001	59%>1 year prior to vaccination
Anti-CD20 therapy >1 year ≤1 year	388 321	59% 15%	46-72 9-	87%	<0.001	
Anti-CD38	351	55%	40 Reduc	ed respons	e rates for	pts receiving allogeneic
Chemotherapy	443	69%	54 transp	lantation <	6 months p	prior to vaccination
Bruton kinase inhibition	636	23%	14-35	85%		
Venetoclax	155	26%	20-34	0%		
Disease status Remission Stable or progressive disease	835 590	72% 48%	64-79 31-	80%	0.014	
Prior COVID-19 Yes No	107 2,654	87% 66%				rapy: response was 23% ise was 26%
Risk of bias Low Moderate	5,904 612	64% 63%	58-70 52-72	94% 82%	0.91	_
mRNA vaccine BNT162b2 mRNA-1273	4,224 1,058	63% 72%	57-69 52-86	93% 96%	0.43	Gagelmann N et al.

#### FONDAZIONE ITALIANA LINFOMI

# Antibody response to COVID-19 vaccination in patients with lymphoma

Kentaro Narita<sup>1</sup> · So Nakaji<sup>2</sup> · Rikako Tabata<sup>1</sup> · Toshiki Terao<sup>1</sup> · Ayumi Kuzume<sup>1</sup> · Takafumi Tsushima<sup>1</sup> · Daisuke Ikeda<sup>1</sup> · Ami Fukumoto<sup>1</sup> · Daisuke Miura<sup>1</sup> · Masami Takeuchi<sup>1</sup> · Masahiro Doi<sup>3</sup> · Yuka Umezawa<sup>3</sup> · Yoshihito Otsuka<sup>3</sup> · Hiroyuki Takamatsu<sup>4</sup> · Kosei Matsue<sup>1</sup>

Prospective observational study (between June and October 2021) to monitor the antibody response in 500 patients with lymphoma after SARS-CoV-2 vaccination

Primary objective to evaluate the serological response to the SARS-CoV-2 vaccine to investigate the clinical variables related to vaccine responses in patients with lymphomas and CLL



Variables	All patients	Anti-S	Anti-S	Anti-S	Anti-S
		<0.8 U/mL	≥0.8 U/mL	<264 U/mL	≥264 U/mL
Number of patients (%)	500	109 (21.8)	391 (78.2)	236 (47.2)	264 (52.8)
Age, median (range)	73 (18-92)	74 (54-92)	71 (18-92)	75 (39-92)	70 (18-91)
Sex, n (%)					
Male sex (%)	260 (52.1)	60 (11.1)	196 (39.2)	94 (20.7)	141 (31.1)
Lymphoma subtype, n, (%)					
DLBCL	212 (42.4)	44 (8.8)	168 (33.6)	93 (18.6)	119 (23.8)
Other aggressive BCL	15 (3.0)	8 (1.6)	7 (1.4)	12 (2.4)	3 (0.6)
FL	134 (26.8)	29 (5.8)	105 (21.0)	60 (12.0)	74 (14.8)
Other indolent BCL	83 (16.6)	24 (4.8)	59 (11.8)	45 (9.0)	38 (7.6)
HD	20 (4.0)	1 (0.2)	19 (3.8)	5 (1.0)	15 (3.0)
TCL	36 (7.2)	3 (0.6)	33 (6.6)	21 (4.2)	15 (3.0)
Treatment, n (%)					
$CD20 \pm chemo-Tx$	327 (65.4)	85 (17.0)	242 (48.4)	153 (30.6)	174 (34.8)
BTKi	14 (2.8)	9 (1.8)	5 (1.0)	13 (2.6)	1 (0.2)
Combination chemotherapy	45 (9.0)	3 (0.6)	42 (8.4)	20 (4.0)	25 (5.0)
CAR-T	1 (0.2)	1 (0.2)	0	1 (0.2)	0
ASCT	26 (5.2)	5 (1.0)	21 (4.2)	11 (2.2)	15 (3.3)
Allo-SCT	11 (2.2)	2 (0.4)	9 (1.8)	5 (1.0)	6 (1.2)
Active treatment	101 (20.2)	78 (15.6)	23 (4.6)	99 (19.8)	2 (0.4)
Untreated	89 (17.8)	5 (1.0)	84 (16.8)	40 (8.0)	49 (9.8)
Time from last Tx, median (range) [month]	40 (0-271)	3 (0–169)	56 (0-271)	6 (0–169)	66 (1-271)
Blood test, median (range)					
WBC [x10 <sup>3</sup> /µL]	5.3 (4.9-74.5)	4.6 (3.9-74.5)	5.4 (4.9-50.9)	5.1 (3.9-74.5)	5.4 (4.9-16.9)
Lymphocyte [%]	30 (2-97)	24 (4-97)	31 (2-94)	26 (2-97)	32 (8-64)
CD3 [%]	61 (2-98)	74 (2-94)	59 (3-98)	69 (2-98)	58 (23-98)
CD4 [%]	28 (1-67)	26 (1-53)	28 (2-67)	26 (1-62)	30 (2-67)
CD8 [%]	26 (1-80)	40 (1-80)	24 (1-73)	34 (1-80)	23 (6-67)
CD19 [%]	11 (0-97)	0 (0-97)	14 (0-96)	3 (0-97)	16 (0-69)
IgG [mg/dL]	1116 (55-5887)	779 (55-2278)	1175 (71-5887)	1018 (55-5887)	1211 (71-2597)
IgA [mg/dL]	189 (3-1369)	112 (3-549)	216 (3-1369)	143 (3-1052)	230 (3-1369)
IgM [mg/dL]	62 (1-5362)	29 (1-3815)	70 (8-5362)	45 (1-5362)	73 (8-2980)
sIL2R [U/mL]	519 (147-9453)	564 (147-5153)	504 (178-9453)	612 (147-9453)	468 (178-5918)

K. Narita et al.



K. Narita et al.

ONDAZIONE



values for each group are shown in squares



Antibody titers in patients treated with chemotherapy with or without CD20 antibodies or BTKi within 6 months of last treatment and thereafter



Antibody titers in untreated and treated patients with and without lymphoma cell infiltration in the peripheral blood

K. Narita et al.



Pts with lymphoma cell infiltration in the peripheral blood had significantly lower anti-S levels than those without in both the treated and untreated groups \_



Multivariate analysis of variables associated with achievement of anti-S≧264 U/mL

K. Narita et al.

Variable	OR	95%CI	Р
Age ≧73 yr	0.61	0.37-0.98	0.04
WBC ≧5.1×10 <sup>3</sup> /µL	1.68	1.04-2.73	0.03
Lymphocyte ≧ 30%	2	1.24-3.25	Age, lymphocyte, CD19, CD4, IgG, IgA, IgM, time from
CD19 ≧ 10%	4.23	2.54-7.14	treatment, sIL2-R, PB lymphoma cell infiltration are significantly associated with anti-S $\geq$ 264 U/mL
CD3 ≧ 66%	1	0.57-1.79	associated with anti-S ≥ 264 0/IIIL
CD4 ≧ 27%	1.84	1.12-3.05	0.01
IgG ≧ 1090 mg/dL	1.45	0.88-2.39	0.13
IgA ≧ 195 mg/dL	1.9	1.14-3.16	0.01
$IgM \ge 50 mg/dL$	2.47	1.51-4.06	< 0.01
sIL2-R ≧ 600 U/mL	0.43	0.26-0.70	< 0.01
PB involvement (+)	0.11	0.02-0.38	< 0.01

## **Booster vaccination**

Lymphoma pts who did not achieve seroconversion after complete vaccination **may benefit from an** additional dose of vaccine

Third dose of vaccine (booster) enhances SARS-CoV-2 neutralizing antibodies also in pts who demonstrated a waning response after vaccination

Benefits are variable across lymphoma histotypes (lower in patients with B-cell lymphomas)

Improve response against SarsCOV2 variants

Persistent SARS-CoV-2 infections in immunocompromised patients can trigger high number of viral genome mutations

Greenberger et al. *Blood*. 2021 Salvini Met al. *Am J Hematol*. 2022 Gressens SB, et al. *Clin Microbiol Infect*. 2022 Gagelmann N . review and meta-analysis *Haematologica*2022 Jimenez M et al *Blood Adv*. 2022 Shapiro LC et al *Cancer Cell*. 2022 Fendler A, et al *Lancet*. 2022 (CAPTURE) Fendler A, et al *Cancer Cell*. 2022 (CAPTURE) Borges V et al. mSphere 2021



# FONDAZIONE

## Managing hematological cancer patients during the COVID-19 pandemic: an ESMO-EHA Interdisciplinary Expert Consensus

C. Buske<sup>1\*</sup>, M. Dreyling<sup>2</sup>, A. Alvarez-Larrán<sup>3</sup>, J. Apperley<sup>4</sup>, L. Arcaini<sup>5,6</sup>, C. Besson<sup>7,8</sup>, L. Bullinger<sup>9,10</sup>, P. Corradini<sup>11</sup>, M. Giovanni Della Porta<sup>12,13</sup>, M. Dimopoulos<sup>14</sup>, S. D'Sa<sup>15</sup>, H. T. Eich<sup>16</sup>, R. Foà<sup>17</sup>, P. Ghia<sup>18</sup>, M. G. da Silva<sup>19</sup>, J. Gribben<sup>20</sup>, R. Hajek<sup>21</sup>, C. Harrison<sup>22</sup>, M. Heuser<sup>23</sup>, B. Kiesewetter<sup>24</sup>, J. J. Kiladjian<sup>25</sup>, N. Kröger<sup>26</sup>, P. Moreau<sup>27</sup>, J. R. Passweg<sup>28</sup>, F. Peyvandi<sup>29,30</sup>, D. Rea<sup>31</sup>, J.-M. Ribera<sup>32</sup>, T. Robak<sup>33</sup>, J. F. San-Miguel<sup>34</sup>, V. Santini<sup>35</sup>, G. Sanz<sup>36,37</sup>, P. Sonneveld<sup>38</sup>, M. von Lilienfeld-Toal<sup>39,40</sup>, C. Wendtner<sup>41</sup>, G. Pentheroudakis<sup>42</sup> & F. Passamonti<sup>43\*</sup>



#### ESMO/EHA recommendations for lymphomas and CLL management in the COVID-19 pandemic



<b>COWE-19</b> diagnosis, treatment, and mitigation strategies (Coordinator: M. von Liliefeld-Toal)	What are efficient strategies to prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection?	STATEMENT 1: Patients, persons in their close relationship, and caregivers must apply common preventive strategies such as: hygiene measures, physical distancing, wearing facial masks, and staving, if possible, in single bedrooms. Efforts in the reorganization of hematology units with telehealth to reduce clinic visits, regular SARS-CoV-2 swab testing and vaccination of health care personnel, of persons in close relationship to patients and caregivers are to be favored.
	Are anti-COVID-19 vaccines indicated in HM patients to prevent SARS-CoV-2 infection?	STATEMENT 2: Vaccination is strongly recommended. Whenever possible, vaccination should be proposed before initiation of treatment. If this is not possible, vaccines can be administered any time during disease course or any therapy in principle. In the case an urgent treatment is required, withholding the planned therapy for receiving vaccines is not justified. To note, immune response might be severely reduced in those
$\langle$	Are current available vaccines safe in HMs? Who should be tested for SARS- CoV-2 at what time?	receiving B-cell depleting agents. STATEMENT 3: The benefits of vaccination far outweigh the risks of vaccine-related adverse events and given the greater severity of the disease and higher risk of death, HM patients are considered a high-priority subgroup for SARS-CoV-2 vaccination. STATEMENT 4: Diagnostic testing is mandatory at presentation of any COVID-19 symptoms and after COVID-19 diagnosis until receiving two negative results, even after
	What type of test should be used with which material?	receiving vaccination against SARS-CoV-2. We recommend screening all asymptomatic patients for SARS-CoV-2 at admission for in-hospital stay, 2-3 days later, and then following local policy. Concerning outpatient clinic visits, we encourage developing local policies according to local risk and recommend testing in the case of high SARS-CoV-2 incidence in the community. STATEMENT 5: NAT (nucleic acid amplification technique) testing is preferred, usually using RT-PCR as the most sensitive method. Material from the respiratory tract should be used, swabs are preferred but spit tests, throat gargles, sputum and nasopharyngeal aspirates are also under investigation. The evaluation of serum neutralizing antibodies for detecting immune response after exposure to SARS-CoV-2 is encouraged, when feasible.

When should we initiate lymphoma treatment in the COVID-19 pandemic?

Should we modify lymphoma treatment in the COVID-19 pandemic?

## In indolent lymphomas and CLL :

'watch and wait' for asymptomatic patients with low tumor burden.

When treatment is indicated, in unvaccinated patients, treatment deferral after anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccination in the absence of an urgent treatment indication.

If treatment is necessary in indolent lymphoma, less immunosuppressive therapies [e.g. therapies avoiding anti-CD20 antibodies in CLL and anti-CD20 maintenance in follicular lymphoma (FL)]





When should we initiate lymphoma treatment in the COVID-19 pandemic?

Should we modify lymphoma treatment in the COVID-19 pandemic?



In **aggressive lymphoma** a delay of treatment initiation is not recommended. In unvaccinated pts, however, in the absence of urgent treatment indication, an individual treatment deferral after anti-SARS-CoV-2 vaccination (at least one injection) may be considered.

In the absence of an urgent treatment indication, a congruous interval (up to 4 weeks) before an anti-CD20 antibody-containing regimen should be respected.

If treatment options are equivalent, less immunosuppressive therapies and treatment with less need for hospital stays are recommended.





In relapsed aggressive lymphoma (DLBCL, MCL, PTCL) and HL? Should autologous, allogeneic SCT or CAR-T cell therapy be postponed in the pandemic?

Patients with refractory and/or relapsed DLBCL, PTCL, and HL who are eligible to autologous, allogeneic SCT or chimeric antigen receptor T-cell (CAR-T cell) therapy should first receive salvage regimens.

HDT/ASCT or CAR-T cell therapy should be considered in eligible patients with DLBCL and MCL.

Delaying (or omitting) consolidative autologous SCT in PTCL patients in complete remission following induction therapy may be considered, as its role is still controversial





How to treat lymphoma in the case of SARS-CoV-2 positive asymptomatic or oligosymptomatic patients? All histological types, at diagnosis, or during therapy

All positive cases should be investigated with lung CT scan. In indolent lymphomas, if possible, defer commencement of treatment until nasopharyngeal swab negativity and resolution of clinical symptoms. If already on treatment the decision to continue or stop treatment should be based on the nature of the treatment and the severity of COVID-19.







